

EU Chemicals Regulatory Landscape

REACH, CLP, SCIP, ESRP, PPWR and Digital Product Passports as an integrated compliance and sustainability framework

PREPARED BY	Regulatory Strategy Consulting Kunz Dr. Erika Kunz
VERSION	July 2026 white paper edition
SCOPE	EU chemicals and product compliance landscape: REACH, CLP, SCIP, ESRP, PPWR, DPP, supply-chain data and corporate governance
AUDIENCE	Chemical manufacturers, formulators, article producers, importers, brand owners, downstream users, regulatory affairs, product stewardship, sustainability, procurement, packaging, IT/data and board-level decision-makers

CORE THESIS

EU chemicals regulation is moving from substance-by-substance compliance toward integrated product and value-chain transparency. REACH and CLP remain the chemical safety foundation, while SCIP, ESRP, PPWR and DPP extend compliance into articles, packaging, circularity, substances of concern and digital product information.

Legal note: This white paper provides strategic orientation and does not constitute legal advice. Detailed obligations depend on substance, mixture, article, packaging, product category, market role, delegated acts, guidance and company-specific facts.

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary
2. Strategic Context: From Chemicals Compliance to Product Transparency
3. Regulatory Architecture
4. REACH: Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction
5. CLP: Classification, Labelling and Packaging of Chemicals
6. SCIP: SVHC Information in Articles and Circular Economy
7. EPR: Product Sustainability and Substances of Concern
8. PPWR: Packaging as a Regulated Compliance Asset
9. Digital Product Passport: Data Infrastructure for Compliance
10. Cross-Regulatory Data Model
11. Business Impact and Risk Areas
12. Enterprise Readiness Roadmap
13. Technical File and Evidence Architecture
14. Board-Level Priorities
15. Outlook and Conclusion

References

1. Executive Summary

The EU chemicals regulatory landscape has evolved into a connected framework that reaches from substances and mixtures to articles, packaging, product sustainability and digital product information. REACH, Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006, remains the central framework for registration, evaluation, authorisation and restriction of chemicals and for the safe use of substances across supply chains. [1]

CLP, Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008, provides the EU framework for classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures. It implements hazard communication through classifications, pictograms, signal words, hazard statements, precautionary statements and packaging rules. [2]

SCIP adds article-level transparency for Candidate List SVHCs above 0.1 percent weight by weight in articles placed on the EU market. ECHA describes SCIP as a database created under the Waste Framework Directive to make information on substances of concern in articles available across the product life cycle, including at the waste stage. [3][4]

ESPR, PPWR and DPP now extend the regulatory landscape toward sustainability, circularity, substances of concern, recyclability, packaging evidence, lifecycle information and machine-readable product data. Companies therefore need integrated compliance governance rather than isolated REACH, CLP, SCIP, packaging or DPP projects. [5][6][7]

EXECUTIVE INSIGHT

The new compliance benchmark is not simply whether a substance is registered or a label is correct. The benchmark is whether a company can demonstrate controlled substance, mixture, article, packaging and product data across the lifecycle, with evidence that can support customers, regulators, waste operators and digital product passports.

2. Strategic Context: From Chemicals Compliance to Product Transparency

The historic EU chemicals compliance model focused primarily on safe manufacture, import, use and communication of substances and mixtures. That model remains essential, but it is no longer sufficient. Regulatory attention now increasingly follows chemicals into articles, recycled materials, packaging streams, product passports and circular economy systems. [1][3][5]

- REACH creates the substance-data and risk-management foundation.
- CLP translates hazard information into classification, labelling and packaging obligations.
- SCIP carries SVHC information into articles, complex objects and waste-stage transparency.
- ESPR introduces sustainability and substances-of-concern requirements for product groups.
- PPWR introduces packaging design, recyclability, recycled-content, PFAS and technical-file obligations.
- DPP creates the digital product-data infrastructure that can connect regulatory, sustainability and supply-chain information.

3. Regulatory Architecture

REGULATORY PILLAR	PRIMARY FOCUS	DATA REQUIRED	STRATEGIC IMPLICATION
REACH	Substance safety, registration, evaluation, authorisation, restriction and supply-chain communication. [1]	Substance identity, tonnage, uses, exposure, SDS, SVHC and restriction data.	Foundation for chemicals governance and downstream product data.

REGULATORY PILLAR	PRIMARY FOCUS	DATA REQUIRED	STRATEGIC IMPLICATION
CLP	Hazard classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures. [2]	Hazard data, classification, label elements, UFI/poison-centre data where applicable, packaging rules.	Hazard communication and market-access control for substances and mixtures.
SCIP	SVHC information in articles and complex objects under the Waste Framework Directive. [3][4]	Article identity, Candidate List SVHC above 0.1 percent w/w, safe-use information, article hierarchy.	Article-level traceability and circular economy transparency.
ESPR	Sustainable product requirements and information requirements under delegated acts. [5]	Performance, circularity, SoCs, environmental footprint, repairability and DPP data.	Moves product design and sustainability into market-access compliance.
PPWR	Packaging lifecycle requirements, recyclability, recycled content, minimisation, labelling and substance restrictions. [6][8]	Packaging BOM, material composition, recyclability evidence, recycled-content certificates, PFAS status, DoC.	Packaging becomes a regulated technical-file and supply-chain governance topic.
DPP	Digital product-data infrastructure under ESPR and sector legislation. [7]	Unique identifiers, product data, material and SoC data, environmental data, access rights and registry links.	Transforms compliance evidence into machine-readable lifecycle information.

4. REACH: Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction

REACH establishes a comprehensive framework for chemicals manufactured, imported or used in the EU. It includes registration obligations for substances, evaluation by authorities, authorisation of substances of very high concern for specific uses, restrictions to control unacceptable risks, and supply-chain communication through safety data sheets and other information flows. [1]

REACH PROCESS	PURPOSE	BUSINESS RISK	READINESS ACTION
Registration	Generate and submit substance data for relevant tonnage bands and uses.	Missing or outdated registrations can affect market access.	Maintain substance inventory, tonnage tracking and use maps.
Evaluation	Authorities review dossiers or substances for potential concerns.	Data requests and dossier updates can require resources and testing.	Monitor ECHA decisions and maintain data-quality governance.
Authorisation	Certain SVHC uses require authorisation to continue.	Substitution pressure and sunset-date risk.	Build substitution and critical-use strategies early.
Restriction	Limits or bans specific substances, uses or conditions.	Portfolio disruption and customer transition risk.	Create restriction monitoring and impact assessment workflows.
SDS and exposure communication	Communicate hazards, safe use and exposure scenarios.	Inconsistent SDS and downstream-use gaps.	Integrate SDS, CLP and customer-use governance.

5. CLP: Classification, Labelling and Packaging of Chemicals

CLP regulates classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures and aligns EU hazard communication with the UN Globally Harmonized System. It establishes classification obligations, label elements and packaging rules before placing hazardous substances and mixtures on the market. [2]

CLP DIMENSION	WHAT IT CONTROLS	CROSS-LINK	CORPORATE CONTROL
Classification	Hazard classes and categories based on substance or mixture data.	Feeds SDS, labels, transport, storage and customer approvals.	Maintain classification governance and change triggers.
Labelling	Pictograms, signal words, hazard and precautionary statements and supplemental information.	Affects packaging artwork and market readability.	Use controlled artwork review and market-language checks.
Packaging	Packaging safety rules for hazardous substances and mixtures.	Connects with PPWR packaging design and labelling needs.	Coordinate CLP and PPWR packaging approvals.
C&L notifications and harmonised classifications	Classification and labelling inventory and harmonised entries.	Impacts downstream mixture classification.	Monitor ATPs and classification changes.
New hazard classes and updates	CLP evolves over time with new hazard categories and ATPs.	Can influence REACH, SDS, SCIP, ESPR SoCs and customer restrictions.	Run portfolio impact assessments for classification changes.

6. SCIP: SVHC Information in Articles and Circular Economy

SCIP stands for Substances of Concern In articles as such or in complex objects (Products). ECHA states that suppliers of articles must submit information from 5 January 2021 onwards when articles placed on the EU market contain Candidate List SVHCs above 0.1 percent weight by weight. SCIP aims to make SVHC information available throughout the product lifecycle and at the waste stage. [3][4]

SCIP ELEMENT	REQUIREMENT LOGIC	DATA CHALLENGE	CONTROL ACTION
Article hierarchy	Complex objects must be decomposed into article components.	Supplier BOMs often lack article-level granularity.	Apply once-an-article always-an-article logic in product data.
SVHC threshold	Candidate List SVHC above 0.1 percent w/w triggers notification.	Threshold applies at article level, not necessarily whole product level.	Collect article-level composition declarations.
Safe-use information	Database supports safe use and waste management.	Generic statements may be insufficient.	Define standard safe-use text and material-stream guidance.
Submission and updates	Notifications must be maintained as products or Candidate List status changes.	Ongoing change control is demanding.	Integrate SCIP with change management and supplier updates.

SCIP ELEMENT	REQUIREMENT LOGIC	DATA CHALLENGE	CONTROL ACTION
Circular economy impact	Waste operators can use SCIP data to improve sorting and material management. [4]	Low data quality undermines recycling benefits.	Treat SCIP as circularity data, not only compliance reporting.

7. ESPR: Product Sustainability and Substances of Concern

ESPR establishes a framework for ecodesign requirements for sustainable products. It allows product-specific delegated acts to set performance and information requirements, including aspects such as durability, reparability, recyclability, environmental footprint, recycled content and substances of concern. [5]

SUBSTANCES OF CONCERN UNDER ESPR

ESPR makes substances relevant not only because of classical hazard, but because substances may affect product sustainability, reuse, recycling or recovered-material quality. This creates a direct bridge between REACH/CLP substance governance, SCIP article data and DPP disclosure.

ESPR TOPIC	REGULATORY DIRECTION	DATA DEPENDENCY	CORPORATE IMPACT
Product-specific delegated acts	Concrete requirements emerge by product group.	Product, material and performance data.	Need early monitoring and advocacy.
SoCs	Information and potential performance requirements for substances of concern.	REACH, CLP, SCIP, supplier and BOM data.	Need integrated chemical-product data model.
DPP	Digital information may become mandatory for product groups.	Machine-readable product and sustainability data.	IT/data architecture becomes compliance critical.
Working Plan 2025-2030	Priority products include steel, aluminium, textiles/apparel, furniture, tyres, mattresses and energy-related products. [9]	Portfolio mapping to priority groups.	Prioritise business units and product families.
Public procurement and lead markets	ESPR can connect product requirements to procurement criteria. [9]	Performance and sustainability evidence.	Sustainable products can become commercial advantage.

8. PPWR: Packaging as a Regulated Compliance Asset

PPWR, Regulation (EU) 2025/40, applies across packaging placed on the EU market and establishes lifecycle requirements for packaging design, composition, recyclability, reuse, recycled content, labelling, waste prevention and certain substance restrictions. The Commission states that PPWR entered into force on 11 February 2025 and will generally apply from 12 August 2026. [6][8]

PPWR REQUIREMENT	CHEMICALS INTERFACE	DATA REQUIRED	RISK
Recyclability	Substances, coatings, adhesives and inks can affect recycling compatibility.	Material composition and recyclability assessment.	Packaging redesign and market-access risk.
Recycled content	Chemicals and material quality must remain controlled in recycled plastics.	PCR certificates and supplier evidence.	Supply shortage and claims risk.
PFAS and substances	PFAS-related restrictions and requirements under the PPWR may affect certain food-contact packaging applications, subject to the specific provisions of the Regulation. [6][8]	Substance declarations and analytical evidence where needed.	Late substitution and performance risk.
Labelling	CLP, product and PPWR labels can interact on packaging.	Artwork and regulatory review records.	Conflicting labels or late artwork changes.
Technical documentation	Packaging requires evidence and declarations of conformity.	Packaging technical file and evidence matrix.	Audit failure and enforcement risk.

9. Digital Product Passport: Data Infrastructure for Compliance

The Digital Product Passport is the digital infrastructure layer connecting products to regulated data. The European Commission describes the DPP as a digital identity card for products, components and materials that stores information to support sustainability, circularity and legal compliance. [7]

DPP DATA AREA	CHEMICALS LANDSCAPE RELEVANCE	SOURCE SYSTEMS	ACCESS ISSUE
Product identity	Links substance, article, packaging and product records.	ERP, PLM, PIM.	Public versus restricted identifiers.
Material and substance data	Connects REACH, SCIP, SoC and circularity information.	BOM, supplier declarations, regulatory databases.	Confidential composition management.
Hazard and safe-use data	Relevant where product groups require safety or handling information.	SDS, CLP, regulatory systems.	Authority and professional-user access.
Sustainability data	Connects ESPR, PPWR, PCF, recycled content and circularity.	LCA, ESG and packaging systems.	Method transparency and claims substantiation.
Registry and access rights	Enables discovery and role-based data access.	DPP platform and registry.	Governed publication workflow required.

10. Cross-Regulatory Data Model

Companies should design one data model that can serve REACH, CLP, SCIP, ESPR, PPWR and DPP simultaneously. The alternative, separate systems for each regime, creates duplicated supplier requests, inconsistent claims and weak audit resilience.

DATA DOMAIN	SUPPORTS	TYPICAL OWNER	KEY CONTROL
Substance master data	REACH, CLP, SCIP, ESPR SoCs, DPP.	Regulatory / product stewardship.	Identifiers, classifications, legal status and change triggers.
Mixture/formulation data	REACH, CLP, SDS, customer requirements.	R&D / regulatory.	Confidential composition and classification governance.
Article BOM data	SCIP, ESPR, DPP, customer compliance.	Engineering / PLM.	Article hierarchy and SVHC location.
Packaging BOM data	PPWR, CLP packaging, DPP, EPR.	Packaging / procurement.	Material composition, recycled content and recyclability.
Supplier evidence	All regimes.	Procurement / supplier quality.	Standard declarations, audit trails and update obligations.
Sustainability metrics	ESPR, PPWR, DPP, CSRD/customer requests.	Sustainability.	Methodology approval and claims substantiation.
Publication/access layer	DPP, customer portals, authorities and public disclosures.	IT / data governance.	Access rights, version control and confidentiality.

11. Business Impact and Risk Areas

Fragmented compliance ownership

REACH, CLP, SCIP, PPWR, ESPR and DPP often sit in different functions. Without a shared operating model, data conflicts and late-stage project delays increase.

Supplier data dependency

SVHC, SoC, recycled content, material composition and packaging evidence often sit upstream. Supplier contracts and templates must be upgraded.

Confidentiality versus transparency

DPP and SCIP require more disclosure, but formulations, suppliers and material recipes can be sensitive. Role-based access and confidentiality rules are central.

Substance changes cascade across regimes

A new harmonised classification or Candidate List entry can affect CLP labels, SDS, SCIP notifications, ESPR SoC disclosure, PPWR substance screening and customer approvals.

Packaging and product compliance convergence

CLP packaging, PPWR packaging and DPP product information must be coordinated to avoid conflicting artwork, labels and claims.

Market surveillance visibility

Digital data systems make inconsistency more visible to authorities, customers and downstream users.

12. Enterprise Readiness Roadmap

PHASE	OBJECTIVE	KEY ACTIVITIES	OUTPUTS
1. Discover	Create regulatory and data visibility.	Map substances, mixtures, articles, packaging, products, systems and market roles.	Regulatory landscape heat map.
2. Integrate	Define one operating model.	Assign data owners, governance bodies, escalation routes and evidence standards.	Integrated compliance operating model.
3. Build data	Create common data foundations.	Substance master, article BOM, packaging BOM, supplier evidence, SDS/CLP, SCIP and DPP data mapping.	Cross-regulatory data model.
4. Prioritize	Focus on high-risk portfolios.	Rank by SVHC/SoC risk, volume, customer exposure, packaging risk, DPP likelihood and regulatory change.	Priority portfolio roadmap.
5. Implement	Operationalise compliance workflows.	Automate data collection, declarations, technical files, notifications, labels and DPP pilot.	Operational compliance processes.
6. Operate	Maintain continuous compliance.	Monitor legal changes, supplier updates, Candidate List, ATPs, delegated acts and packaging revisions.	Compliance dashboard and board reporting.

13. Technical File and Evidence Architecture

An integrated evidence architecture should support multiple regulatory regimes at once. The goal is to avoid duplicate files and create a single source of controlled evidence for substances, mixtures, articles, packaging and product passports.

EVIDENCE LAYER	TYPICAL CONTENT	MAIN REGIMES SUPPORTED
Substance file	Identifiers, REACH registration, hazard data, classification, SVHC and restrictions.	REACH, CLP, ESPR, DPP
Mixture file	Formula, classification, SDS, label, UFI/PCN where applicable and exposure info.	CLP, REACH
Article file	Article hierarchy, SVHC status, safe-use info and SCIP submissions.	SCIP, REACH Article 33, ESPR, DPP
Packaging file	Material composition, recyclability, recycled content, PFAS/substance review, labels, DoC.	PPWR, CLP, DPP
Product sustainability file	PCF, LCA, recycled content, durability, repairability and circularity metrics.	ESPR, PPWR, DPP
Supplier file	Declarations, certificates, audit results, material data, substance data and update commitments.	All regimes
Publication file	Customer disclosures, DPP public view, restricted-access view, claims and approval history.	DPP, ESPR, PPWR, customer compliance

BEST PRACTICE

Build one evidence repository and publish multiple regulatory views from it: SDS, labels, SCIP, PPWR technical files, customer declarations and DPP records should draw from the same controlled data source.

14. Board-Level Priorities

- Treat the EU chemicals landscape as one integrated product-compliance system, not as separate regulatory silos.
- Create a cross-functional governance body covering REACH, CLP, SCIP, ESPR, PPWR, DPP, sustainability and supplier data.
- Build a single substance, article and packaging data model with clear ownership and change triggers.
- Map high-risk substances, SVHCs, SoCs, packaging materials and product groups against current and emerging obligations.
- Integrate supplier evidence requirements into contracts and quality agreements.
- Align CLP labels, SDS, PPWR labels, customer declarations and DPP disclosures through controlled approval workflows.
- Prioritise portfolios exposed to ESPR priority product groups and PPWR deadlines.
- Use digital tools and master-data governance to reduce manual reporting and inconsistent submissions.
- Create an executive dashboard for Candidate List updates, ATPs, restrictions, delegated acts, PPWR milestones and DPP implementation.

15. Outlook and Conclusion

The EU chemicals regulatory landscape is becoming more connected, digital and circular-economy oriented. REACH and CLP remain the foundation, but they now feed into a broader system of article transparency, product sustainability, packaging circularity and digital product passports. [1][2][3][5][6][7]

Companies that build integrated data, evidence and governance capabilities will be better positioned to manage regulatory change, respond to customer requirements, support circularity and maintain market access. Companies that continue to operate in isolated compliance silos will face higher cost, slower response times and greater inconsistency as regulatory obligations converge.

FINAL MESSAGE

The future EU chemicals compliance model is integrated, lifecycle-based and data-driven. The winners will be companies that turn regulatory complexity into controlled product intelligence.

References

- [1] Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning REACH. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2006/1907/oj/eng>
- [2] Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2008/1272/oj/eng>
- [3] ECHA, SCIP Database. <https://www.echa.europa.eu/en/web/guest/scip-database>
- [4] ECHA, Waste operators and SCIP. <https://echa.europa.eu/waste-operators>
- [5] Regulation (EU) 2024/1781 establishing a framework for ecodesign requirements for sustainable products. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2024/1781/oj/eng>

- [6] Regulation (EU) 2025/40 on packaging and packaging waste. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2025/40/oj/eng>
 - [7] European Commission, Digital Product Passport. https://single-market-economy.ec.europa.eu/single-market/digital-product-passport_en
 - [8] European Commission, Packaging waste overview. https://environment.ec.europa.eu/topics/waste-and-recycling/packaging-waste_en
 - [9] European Commission, ESPR Working Plan 2025-2030. https://green-forum.ec.europa.eu/news/2025-2030-working-plan-2025-07-11_en
-

About Regulatory Strategy Consulting Kunz

Regulatory Strategy Consulting Kunz supports organizations in navigating complex regulatory landscapes and transforming compliance into a source of strategic value. The practice provides independent strategic advice, expert assessments and project-based support for organizations facing regulatory change, sustainability transformation and complex compliance challenges.

Smart Regulatory Compliance. Strategy with Integrity.

Disclaimer: This article provides general regulatory information and does not constitute legal advice. Regulatory obligations depend on applicable legislation, delegated acts, standards and individual business circumstances.

AI-assisted content generation was used during drafting. All technical, regulatory and strategic conclusions were reviewed and approved prior to publication